

# ROW Committee 13<sup>th</sup> December 2010 Agenda Item 9: ROWIP Prioritisation APPENDIX 2

## Option 1: focus on resources

		Not met	Not met, but potential to meet	Partially met	Met	Met, with potential added value
	Criteria					
LTP/ROWIP Policies	H1 Promotion of active travel and healthy activities:work in partnership to promote walking, cycling and horse riding as active travel options and healthy activities	1	2	3	4	5
	H2 Green Infrastructure: protect and enhance our public rights of way and green infrastructure and endeavour to create new links where beneficial for health or access to green spaces	1	2	3	4	5
	S2 Walking: work with stakeholders to improve facilities for walking so that it is attractive for shorter journeys	1	2	3	4	5
	S1 Cycling: work with stakeholders to improve facilities for cycling so that it is attractive for shorter journeys	1	2	3	4	5
Staff Resources	Project could be delivered easily within current staffing levels	1	2	3	4	5
	Value for money: large improvement compared to staff resource	1	2	3	4	5
Financial resources	Project can be delivered within anticipated revenue and capital budgets	1	2	3	4	5
	Potential funding source already identified	1	2	3	4	5
	Value for money: large improvement compared to financial resource	1	2	3	4	5
Feasibility	Landowner agreement	1	2	3	4	5
	Community support (e.g. voluntary groups, local councils, councillors)	1	2	3	4	5
SCORES						
TOTAL SCORE						

## Option 2: focus on need

	Criteria	Not met	Not met, but potential to meet	Partially met	Met	Met, with potential added value
LTP/ROWIP Policies	H1 Promotion of active travel and healthy activities:work in partnership to promote walking, cycling and horse riding as active travel options and healthy activities	1	2	3	4	5
	H2 Green Infrastructure: protect and enhance our public rights of way and transport related green infrastructure and endeavour to create new links where beneficial for health or access to green spaces	1	2	3	4	5
	S2 Walking: work with stakeholders to improve facilities for walking so that it is attractive for shorter journeys	1	2	3	4	5
	S1 Cycling: work with stakeholders to improve facilities for cycling so that it is attractive for shorter journeys	1	2	3	4	5
Need	Route is in area of high level of deprivation	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving urban access to countryside	1	2	3	4	5
	Route is in area of with poor access provision or addresses gaps in the network	1	2	3	4	5
	Value for money: large number of potential beneficiaries compared to cost of project	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving disabled access	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving access for equestrians	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving access for cyclists	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving access to woodland, moorland or other landscape feature lacking in access, or area of interest	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving access in area of prospective development	1	2	3	4	5
	Obvious transport theme: link between communities and facilities to avoid road use	1	2	3	4	5
	Exisiting or new promoted route, providing more information for users	1	2	3	4	5
	Source of request - (e.g. 1 - single individual, 2 -multiple individuals, 3 - parish council, user group, 4 - multiple groups, 5 - multiple groups with support from other organisations e.g British Waterways)	1	2	3	4	5
By All Reasonable Means criteria	Tourism / visitor economy benefit	1	2	3	4	5
	Route / terrain - existing standard of route (e.g. 1 - remote and difficult so accessible only to a few individuals, 5 - flat and easy so accessible to most)	1	2	3	4	5
	Connectivity - route offers link between other routes or facilities like shops and schools	1	2	3	4	5
	Interest: route offers link to area of conservation or landscape value or other place of interest	1	2	3	4	5
	Demand - improvements will result in added demand from potential users	1	2	3	4	5
	Popularity - current route popularity	1	2	3	4	5
Feasibility	Landowner agreement	1	2	3	4	5
	Community support (e.g. voluntary groups, local councils, councillors)	1	2	3	4	5
	Funding source identified	1	2	3	4	5
	Staff resource demand: achievable with current staffing levels	1	2	3	4	5
SCORES						
TOTAL SCORE						

### Option 3: focus on targets

	Criteria	Not met	Not met, but potential to meet	Partially met	Met	Met, with potential added value
LTP/ROWIP Policies	H1 Promotion of active travel and healthy activities:work in partnership to promote walking, cycling and horse riding as active travel options and healthy activities	1	2	3	4	5
	H2 Green Infrastructure: protect and enhance our public rights of way and transport related green infrastructure and endeavour to create new links where beneficial for health or access to green spaces	1	2	3	4	5
	S2 Walking: work with stakeholders to improve facilities for walking so that it is attractive for shorter journeys	1	2	3	4	5
	S1 Cycling: work with stakeholders to improve facilities for cycling so that it is attractive for shorter journeys	1	2	3	4	5
National Local Transport Plan Goals	Reducing carbon emissions	1	2	3	4	5
	Supporting economic growth	1	2	3	4	5
	Promoting equality of opportunity	1	2	3	4	5
	Contributing to better safety, security & health	1	2	3	4	5
	Improving quality of life & healthy natural environment	1	2	3	4	5
LTP Objectives	1. Congestion: minimise congestion in our urban areas and on important routes and improve the overall efficiency of the highway network	1	2	3	4	5
	2. Accessibility: improve accessibility to key services (employment, education, health, shopping and leisure)	1	2	3	4	5
	3. Improve maintenance of the highway and transport network.	1	2	3	4	5
	4. Community: support community involvement and decision-making	1	2	3	4	5
	5. Health: support active and healthy lifestyles	1	2	3	4	5
	6. Safety: improve road safety for all users and increase personal and community safety	1	2	3	4	5
	7. Environment: protect and enhance our local and global environment	1	2	3	4	5
Ambition for All priorities for action	Nurture strong communities	1	2	3	4	5
	Create conditions for business growth	1	2	3	4	5
	Unlock the potential of our towns	1	2	3	4	5
	Support our childrens and young people	1	2	3	4	5
	Ensure a sustainable future	1	2	3	4	5
	Prepare for an increasingly older population	1	2	3	4	5
	Drive out the sources of poor health	1	2	3	4	5
Feasibility	Landowner agreement	1	2	3	4	5
	Community support (e.g. voluntary groups, local councils, councillors)	1	2	3	4	5
	Funding source identified	1	2	3	4	5
	Staff resource demand: achievable with current staffing levels	1	2	3	4	5
SCORES						
TOTAL SCORE						